Surgical Correction of Non-traumatic Patella Maltracking. Midterm Clinical Follow-up

Eran Keltz, M.D.^{1,2*}, Dror Ofir, M.D.³, Yiftah Beer, M.D.⁴, Naama Gruber, M.D.¹, Mezen Falah, M.D.¹, and Gabriel Nierenberg, M.D.^{2,5}

¹Division of Orthopedic Surgery, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel; ²The Ruth & Bruce Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel; ³Division of Orthopedic Surgery, Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁴Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Assaf Harofeh Medical Center, Zrifin, Israel; and ⁵Sports Traumatology & Cartilage Regeneration Service, Division of Orthopedic Surgery, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel

ABSTRACT

Background: Patellar instability comprises a group of pathologies that allow the patella to move out of its trajectory within the trochlear groove during walking. Symptomatic patients who need surgery commonly undergo soft tissue procedures such as medial patellofemoral ligament repair to strengthen the ligaments that hold the patella in place. However, soft-tissue repairs may be insufficient in patients suffering from patellar maltracking, which is characterized by an unbalanced gliding of the patella within its route. In these patients, a different approach is advised. We aim to provide the mid-term clinical outcomes of the Fulkerson distal realignment operation in selected patients with non-traumatic patellar maltracking.

Methods: The clinical outcomes of the Fulkerson distal realignment operation performed in 22 knees of 21 patients were evaluated by a self-administered subjective International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) score and the Tegner–Lysholm knee scoring scale.

Results: Before surgery, the median IKDC score was 52, and the median Tegner–Lysholm score was 56. Following surgery (mean follow-up 48 months, range 24–156), the median IKDC and the Tegner–Lysholm scores were 67 and 88, respectively. The improvement was statistically significant (*P*=0.001 and *P*=0.002

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HKA, hip-knee-ankle; IKD, internal knee derangement; IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee; MPFL, medial patellofemoral ligament; TTTG, tibial tuberosity to trochlear groove.

Citation: Keltz E, Ofir D, Beer Y, Gruber N, Falah M, Nierenberg G. Surgical Correction of Non-traumatic Patella Maltracking. Midterm Clinical Follow-up. Rambam Maimonides Med J 2022;13 (2):e0010. doi:10.5041/RMMJ.10465

Copyright: © 2022 Keltz et al. This is an open-access article. All its content, *except where otherwise noted*, is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conflict of interest: No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: erankeltz@gmail.com or e_keltz@rambam.health.gov.il

for IKDC and Tegner–Lysholm scores, respectively). Associated procedures included patella microfracture due to grade III–IV cartilage lesion (International Cartilage Repair Society grading system) in four patients, retinacular releases in three patients, medial capsular augmentations in two patients, and medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in two patients. One patient with Ehlers–Danlos disease required excessive medialization of the tibial tuberosity. Surgery-related complications occurred in three patients.

Discussion: Surgical correction of patellar maltracking with Fulkerson distal realignment combined with associated procedures in individual patients was associated with an increase in subjective and functional clinical scores at medium-term follow-up. Particular attention should address pathologies associated with patellar maltracking and managed accordingly.

Level of evidence: 4c (case series).

KEY WORDS: Dislocation, Fulkerson, laxity, malalignment, maltracking, patella, realignment

INTRODUCTION

Patellar instability is complex, with many clinical expressions ranging from painless grossly visible maltracking to painful chronic recurrent patellar dislocation/subluxation. Various parameters are taken into consideration when considering the different treatments options, such as the lower limb alignment (the hip-knee-ankle angle), static and dynamic patellar movements, and associated conditions such as connective tissue disorders.^{1,2}

This study evaluated our experience with surgical treatment of patellar maltracking. Normally, the patella's position is maintained within the trochlear groove when we ambulate. In patients with maltracking, flexion of the knee may lead the patella to move out of its course within the trochlear groove, either entirely (i.e. dislocation) or partially (i.e. subluxation). Maltracking, however, may not present with instability but with an unbalanced gliding of the patellar facets within the trochlea. Excessive pressure is applied, leading to patellofemoral pain and even osteoarthritis.³ Since various pathologies may allow maltracking, the subject of patellar maltracking and its proper treatment remains a challenge.^{4,5}

A higher prevalence of patella dislocation is reported in young females, those with generalized ligamentous laxity, valgus knee, hip internal rotation, and increased quadriceps angle.^{6–8} Increased prevalence of valgus malalignment is common in individuals with high body mass index (BMI).⁹ It has been associated with young females engaged in dancing.¹⁰

If intra-articular loose bodies or chondral injury are ruled out, initial treatment is non-operative.¹¹ Anti-inflammatory drugs, initial immobilization, and physiotherapy are the primary modalities in use. Surgical management is indicated in those with intra-articular loose bodies or a displaced osteochondral fracture. Surgery is also indicated in those with recurrent symptoms and those who engage in sporting activities.

Various surgical procedures have been described to correct the maltracking. Soft tissue procedures are aimed at strengthening the patella's ligamentous attachments to keep it in place or restrain its pressure on the lateral facets. There are osseous procedures that alter the insertion point of the patellar tendon in order to change the vector of the forces employed on the patella during movement. The Fulkerson osteotomy is one such procedure, which is being evaluated in this study. The tibial tubercle, to which the tendon is attached, is detached from the tibia and reattached to the long bone medially and anteriorly,12 altering the quadriceps contraction force vector, and subtracting the applied pressure on the patellar facets. If the isolated bony procedure is insufficient, complementary retinacular, capsular, and cartilage repair modalities are added.13,14 A "wake test" with intraoperative active knee range of motion to monitor the accurate degree of correction is of marginal benefit.¹ Evaluation by passive motion and gradual "on-demand" tubercle medialization prevails. The aim of this study was to prospectively evaluate the clinical outcomes of the Fulkerson realignment procedure in patients with demonstrable nontraumatic patella maltracking.

METHODS

We prospectively followed a cohort of patients with clinically demonstrable patellar maltracking. The study was approved by the institutional research ethics committee. All patients underwent preoperative imaging that included full-length standing Xrays of the lower limbs to evaluate the hip-kneeankle (HKA) angle for the degree of the valgus, lateral view of knee, and "sunrise" axial view of the patellofemoral joint in 45 degrees of knee flexion.

Patients were offered surgery if symptoms of maltracking did not improve following at least two physiotherapy courses. Patients were included if they suffered from painful recurrent patellar subluxation, or constant subluxation with crepitus and associated laxity (a minimum Beighton score¹⁵ of 3). Patients were also included if they suffered from a visible patella maltracking with recurrent effusion, or subluxation following previous soft tissue surgery that failed to correct the pathology.

Patients were excluded if the patellar instability was secondary to direct trauma or they had previously undergone knee surgery other than soft tissue repairs for maltracking. Patients were also excluded if their knee pain was due to other pathologies such as malunion around the knee, internal knee derangement (IKD; loose body, meniscal tears), calcifications at the tibial tuberosity (Osgood–Schlatter), and inflammatory joint disease.

The surgical approach consisted of a longitudinal midline incision, oblique biplanar osteotomy with complete detachment of a large tibia-based tuberosity fragment. Extending the lateral retinacula incision enabled patellar and trochlea cartilage inspection, evaluation of the entire extensor hood, and patellar response to trail passive range of motion while adapting the tubercle position to provide central isometric tracking of the patella. Extending the exposure to full lateral release was performed where residual tethering of lateral capsular scarring occurred. The extent of lateral retinacular release and the need for medial capsular plication were evaluated and completed according to the intraoperative passive range of motion test, demonstrating the new vector of patella glide and corrected tilt.

Clinical outcomes were evaluated by comparing the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) score¹⁶ and the Tegner–Lysholm knee scoring scale¹⁷ before and after the operation. Both scores are patient-reported outcome scores that evaluate specific knee symptoms (swelling, clicking, stability) and function (walking, squatting, climbing stairs, etc.), with a range of 0–100 points (a higher score indicates a higher function). The scores were assumed to distribute non-normally, and non-parametric tests were used to evaluate differences observed for the IKDC and Tegner–Lysholm knee scores.

RESULTS

Included in this study were 21 patients in whom 22 knees underwent Fulkerson osteotomy of the tibial tuberosity for patellar maltracking (Table 1). Thirteen were females, and eight were males. The median age at surgery was 21.0 years (range 13–36). Seventeen patients suffered from constitutional ligamentous laxity. One patient had pathological laxity due to Ehler–Danlos syndrome (see Figures 1–3). One other patient had a diagnosis of rickets. Overall, 12 patients had genu valgum. None of the patients presented with varus alignment of the lower limbs. The median HKA angle was 186 degrees (range 182–191 degrees).

All patients underwent Fulkerson distal realignment osteotomy as a primary procedure. In eight patients, osteotomy was combined with a soft tissue procedure, i.e. a lateral release or a medial plication, or both. Two other patients had undergone previous medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction. One patient had a consecutive bilateral correction. One patient diagnosed with Ehlers–Danlos syndrome suffered intractable bilateral chronic debilitating patellar instability. Centralizing the patella necessitated an extreme medial positioning of the tibial tuberosity (Figure 2).

Eight patients were found to have cartilage defects on the patella. In four of these, the cartilage defect extended beyond 50% of the cartilage depth (grade III according to the International Cartilage Repair Society grading system). The treatment of the cartilage lesions consisted of debridement and microfracture.

The mean follow-up was 48 months (range 24– 156 months). The median IKDC score significantly improved from 52 (interquartile range [IQR] 39–71) before surgery to 66 (IQR 64–97) at final follow-up (P=0.001). The Tegner–Lysholm scores significantly improved from a median of 56 (IQR 44–77) to 88 (IQR 84–100) at the final follow-up (P=0.002).

One patient who suffered from a superficial surgical wound infection underwent a second surgery for the removal of screws. Four asymptomatic patients maintained a position of patella alta on postoperative imaging. One patient, a heavy smoker and highly atopic with a lengthy history of steroid treat-

| Pt | Age | Background Illness | Cartilage Debridement and Microfracture | Lateral Release | Medial Plication | Other Procedures |
|----|-----|--|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | 19 | Ligamentous laxity | + | | | · |
| 2 | 34 | Ligamentous laxity, atopic dermatitis | + | | | Autologous bone graft |
| 3 | 36 | | + | + | | |
| 4 | 21 | Ligamentous laxity | | | + | Autologous bone graft |
| 5 | 31 | Ehlers-Danlos, (ligamentous laxity) | | + | + | Tibial tuberosity transfer |
| 6 | 21 | Ligamentous laxity | | + | | |
| 7 | 22 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | |
| 8* | 20 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | Autologous bone graft |
| 9 | 21 | | | + | + | Autologous bone graft |
| 10 | 28 | Rickets; status post- MPFL reconstruction | | | | |
| 11 | 18 | Ligamentous laxity | + | + | + | |
| 12 | 13 | Ligamentous laxity | | + | + | |
| 13 | 27 | Ligamentous laxity | | + | + | |
| 14 | 18 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | |
| 15 | 24 | | | | | Revision Fulkerson |
| 16 | 32 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | |
| 17 | 26 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | |
| 18 | 18 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | MPFL reconstruction [†] |
| 19 | 48 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | MPFL reconstruction [†] |
| 20 | 21 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | |
| 21 | 20 | Ligamentous laxity | | | | |

| Table 1. | . Fulkerson | Distal | Realignment | Patients: | Demographics and | Associated Surgica | l Procedures. |
|----------|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
|----------|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------|

* Bilateral knee procedure.

[†] Autologous gracilis tendon.

MPFL, medial patellofemoral ligament; Pt, patient.

ments, had a delayed union that eventually resolved uneventfully.

All patients had a plain radiograph imaging demonstrating an uneventful union at the osteotomy site, and a sky-line view X-ray demonstrating centralized patella with no residual subluxation. No recurrence of patellar instability symptoms or mechanical disturbances was reported.

DISCUSSION

The patellofemoral joint forms part of the knee joint. The patella is a sesamoid bone located within the quadriceps tendon. It protects the tendon and helps transform the force created by contraction of the quadriceps muscle contraction into knee extension, by increasing its working moment upon the proximal tibia. Proper gliding of the patella within

4



Figure 1. Lateral View in a Patient Diagnosed with Ehler-Danlos Demonstrating Patella Alta.



Figure 2. Postoperative True Anterior Posterior (AP) View of the Ehler-Danlos Patient with Far Medialization of the Tibial Tuberosity.



Figure 3. Postoperative Skyline View of Patella Demonstrating the Index Knee Compared to the Unoperated Contralateral Patella Position.

the trochlear groove is essential for this function. Proper gliding is dependent on various factors, making pathology a multifactorial disturbance. It is dependent on trochlear morphology (trochlear sulcus angle, depth, and inclination), patellar facet morphology, and the axis and rotation of the lower limb (influenced by hip anteversion, tibial torsion, and knee alignment—genu valgum, for example) determining the forces employed by the surrounding soft tissue structures on the patella (Figure 4). Obviously, the quality of soft tissue itself has a detrimental influence on this complex motion, causing hyperlaxity and related syndromes (e.g. Ehler–Danlos, Marfan, etc.) to play a role.

Patients suffering from patellar instability, chronic subluxation, or recurrent dislocations commonly suffer from pain and mechanical knee discomfort. Physical findings such as crepitus, intermittent effusion, and functional disability are adverse prognostic factors that may render surgical treatment necessary.¹⁸ Whenever surgery is considered, it is essential to distinguish the "dislocator" from the "maltracker" since stabilization may be all that is necessary for the former,¹⁹ whereas a realignment procedure is crucial in the latter in order to alter the vector of forces employed on the patella during contraction of the quadriceps muscles.³ Reconstruction of medial restrains in patients with non-traumatic maltraction will often result in failure.²⁰

The multiple pathologies treated by the Fulkerson distal realignment osteotomy and the multiplicity of surgical methods that have been employed on patients with maltracking make it difficult to evaluate the clinical results of different procedures in our patient population. The current literature does not always differ between those with recurrent dislocation and those with symptoms of maltracking, whether they have some degree of patellar subluxation or not (Figure 4).²¹ Palmer et al., for example, describe 84 knees reconstructed for severe patellofemoral maltracking, with 55% having frank dislocation and 45% with no instability.22 Pritsch et al. report tibial tubercle transfer in 80 knees in which 21.3% had no dislocation or subluxation.23 Hence, the variability between the patients treated makes it challenging to interpret the osteotomy results. On the other hand, relying on isolated soft tissue procedures like medial plication in cases of patellar instability has not demonstrated universal efficacy, with 22% recurrences of dislocation as reported by Efe et al.24

In our experience, extended surgical exposure is necessary for evaluating the general dynamics of the "extensor hood" and direct inspection of the patellofemoral gross anatomy and cartilage integrity. The exposure allows evaluating the added value of soft tissue procedures such as lateral release and medial plication. The lack of traditional imaging may be a



Figure 4. Treatment Concepts of Patellar Maltracking.

A: The patellofemoral joint comprises a convex patella gliding upon a concave trochlea. This could be compared to a train struggling to remain on track. B: Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction is comparable to a tether stabilizing the train. C: However, the Fulkerson realignment procedure is comparable to altering the track itself.

drawback of this paper, not having measured parameters such as the tibial tuberosity to trochlear groove (TTTG) interval.²⁵

Considering the large number of factors influencing maltracking, it is not surprising that there is insufficient evidence from the results of the surgical treatment of recurrent non-traumatic patella dislocation.²⁶ In view of the natural history of the disease, where early degenerative changes may occur in the patellofemoral joint, we recommend addressing patellofemoral symptomatic maltracking and instability as early as possible.^{14,27}

REFERENCES

- 1. Pandit S, Frampton C, Stoddart J, Lynskey T. Magnetic resonance imaging assessment of tibial tuberosity-trochlear groove distance: normal values for males and females. Int Orthop 2011;35:1799–803. <u>CrossRef</u>
- Dejour H, Walch G, Nove-Josserand L, Guier C. Factors of patellar instability: an anatomic radiographic study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 1994;2:19–26. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 3. Bayoumi T, Benner JL, Stavenuiter MHJ, van der List JP. Tibial tubercle transfer leads to clinically relevant improvement in patients with patellar maltracking without instability: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2021;29:1137–49. CrossRef
- 4. Patellofemoral semantics. The Tower of Babel. The International Patellofemoral Study Group. Am J Knee Surg 1997;10:92–5. PMID: 9131240
- Arendt EA, Fithian DC, Cohen E. Current concepts of lateral patella dislocation. Clin Sports Med 2002;21: 499–519. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 6. Fithian DC, Paxton EW, Stone ML, et al. Epidemiology and natural history of acute patellar dislocation. Am J Sports Med 2004;32:1114–21. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 7. Nguyen A-D, Shultz SJ, Schmitz RJ, Luecht RM, Perrin DH. A preliminary multifactorial approach describing the relationships among lower extremity alignment, hip muscle activation, and lower extremity joint excursion. J Athl Train 2011;46:246–56. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 8. Atkin DM, Fithian DC, Marangi KS, Stone ML, Dobson BE, Mendelsohn C. Characteristics of patients with primary acute lateral patellar dislocation and their recovery within the first 6 months of injury. Am J Sports Med 2000;28:472–9. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 9. Shohat N, Machluf Y, Farkash R, Finestone AS, Chaiter Y. Clinical knee alignment among adolescents and association with body mass index: a large preva-

lence study. Isr Med Assoc J 2018;20:75–9. PMID: 29431299

- Beasley MA, Stracciolini A, Tyson KD, Stein CJ. Knee injury patterns in young irish dancers. Med Probl Perform Art 2014;29:70–3. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 11. Saltychev M, Dutton RA, Laimi K, Beaupré GS, Virolainen P, Fredericson M. Effectiveness of conservative treatment for patellofemoral pain syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Rehabil Med 2018;50:393–401. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 12. Fulkerson JP, Becker GJ, Meaney JA, Miranda M, Folcik MA. Anteromedial tibial tubercle transfer without bone graft. Am J Sports Med 1990;18:490–6; discussion 496–7. <u>CrossRef</u>
- Arshi A, Cohen JR, Wang JC, Hame SL, McAllister DR, Jones KJ. Operative management of patellar instability in the United States. Orthop J Sport Med 2016;4:232596711666287. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 14. von Keudell A, Han R, Bryant T, Minas T. Autologous chondrocyte implantation to isolated patella cartilage defects. Cartilage 2017;8:146–54. <u>CrossRef</u>
- Beighton P, Horan F. Orthopaedic aspects of the Ehlers-Danlos syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1969; 51:444–53. PMID: 5820785
- 16. Rossi MJ, Lubowitz JH, Guttmann D. Development and validation of the International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Form. Am J Sports Med 2002;30:152. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 17. Lysholm J, Gillquist J. Evaluation of knee ligament surgery results with special emphasis on use of a scoring scale. Am J Sports Med 1982;10:150–4. <u>CrossRef</u>
- Grelsamer RP. Patellar nomenclature: the Tower of Babel revisited. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2005;(436): 60–5. PMID: 15995421
- 19. Frosch K-H, Schmeling A. A new classification system of patellar instability and patellar maltracking. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2016;136:485–97. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 20. Balcarek P, Rehn S, Howells NR, et al. Results of medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction compared with trochleoplasty plus individual extensor apparatus balancing in patellar instability caused by severe trochlear dysplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017;25:3869–77. CrossRef
- 21. Tompkins MA, Arendt EA. Patellar instability factors in isolated medial patellofemoral ligament reconstructions--what does the literature tell us? A systematic review. Am J Sports Med 2015;43:2318–27. CrossRef
- 22. Palmer SH, Servant CTJ, Maguire J, Machan S, Parish EN, Cross MJ. Surgical reconstruction of

severe patellofemoral maltracking. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2004;(419):144–8. <u>CrossRef</u>

- 23. Pritsch T, Haim A, Arbel R, Snir N, Shasha N, Dekel S. Tailored tibial tubercle transfer for patellofemoral malalignment: analysis of clinical outcomes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2007;15:994–1002. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 24. Efe T, Seibold J, Geßlein M, et al. Non-anatomic proximal realignment for recurrent patellar dislocation does not sufficiently prevent redislocation. Open Orthop J 2012;6:114–17. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 25. Post WR, Fithian DC. Patellofemoral instability: a consensus statement from the AOSSM/PFF Patello-femoral Instability Workshop. Orthop J Sport Med 2018;6: 2325967117750352. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 26. Hing CB, Smith TO, Donell S, Song F. Surgical versus non-surgical interventions for treating patellar dislocation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;(11): CD008106. <u>CrossRef</u>
- 27. Hofmann AA, McCandless JB, Shaeffer JF, Magee TH. Patellofemoral replacement: the third compartment. Bone Joint J 2013;95-B:124–8. <u>CrossRef</u>